

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|                      |                                   | 7.500                |                              |                  |  |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
| 09/965,772           | 09/27/2001                        | Tomio Amano          | JP9-2000-0267US1<br>(590.083 | 3441             |  |
| 35195<br>FERENCE & A | 7590 07/18/2007<br>ASSOCIATES LLC |                      | EXAMINER                     |                  |  |
| 409 BROAD S          |                                   |                      | SINGH, RACHNA                |                  |  |
| PITTSBURGH           | 'A 15143                          |                      | ART.UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                      |                                   |                      | 2176                         |                  |  |
|                      |                                   |                      |                              |                  |  |
|                      |                                   |                      | MAIL DATE                    | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                      |                                   |                      | 07/18/2007                   | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| •  |  | Ар  | plication No.  | Applicant(s)   |              |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|
|  |  | 09  | /965,772   | AMANO, TOMIO   | AMANO, TOMIO |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  |  |   | aminer   | Art Unit   |              |  |  |  |
|  |  |   | chna Singh   | 2176   |              |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communi<br>or Reply   | ication appears   | on the cover sheet   | with the correspondence a  | ddress       |  |  |  |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSION OF | AILING DATE<br>of 37 CFR 1.136(a).<br>nunication.<br>atutory period will app<br>will, by statute, cause | OF THIS COMMUI<br>In no event, however, may<br>oly and will expire SIX (6) Me<br>the application to become | NICATION. In a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |              |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 1)[🖂   | Responsive to communication(s) file  | d on <i>30 April 2</i>  | 007.   |  |              |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2b)⊠ This actio   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 3)[  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims  |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the a  | pplication.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 5)   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 6)   | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| · -  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction  | on and/or electi  | ion requirement.   |  |              |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | ion Papers   |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the  | e Examiner.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 10)  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  | a) accepted   | d or b)⊡ objected t  | to by the Examiner.  |              |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any object  | ction to the drawi  | ing(s) be held in abey   | vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |              |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including   |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.                 |  |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: |  |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage                        |  |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
|  | application from the Internation   | •   | ` ''   |  |              |  |  |  |
| * 5  | See the attached detailed Office action  | n for a list of the   | e certified copies n   | ot received.   |              |  |  |  |
| •  | •  |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | t(s)   |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| _  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  |   | 4) Thterview   | w Summary (PTO-413)  |              |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P   | TO-948)   | Paper N  | o(s)/Mail Date   |              |  |  |  |
|  | Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) \[ \sum \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \   |   |  |  |              |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-2, 4-5, 6-11, 16, and 20-23, drawn to defining and using a tag set or correction code by rewriting or adding correction code to application data written in a markup language so as to reduce the number of errors when text is re-input, classified in class 715, subclass 530.
  - II. Claims 12-15, drawn to an error detector and corrector for comparing character recognition results or text portions with the correction code, classified in class 714, subclass 819.
  - III. Claims 17-19, drawn to an application data provision system where a first computer generates application data and the second computer receives and uses the application data, classified in class 709, subclass 201.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together
  in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in
  scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination
  is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as

Application/Control Number: 09/965,772

Art Unit: 2176

supporting error correction by adding correction code to a predetermined portion of application data written in a markup description language. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as performing error correction and detection by comparing text or character recognition results to correction code. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as data provisioning where one computer reads data produced by another computer. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to

Application/Control Number: 09/965,772

Art Unit: 2176

provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of

the instant application.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons

given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is

not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in

view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims

encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not

patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/965,772

Art Unit: 2176

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 5

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachna Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-4099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30AM-6:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rachna Singh Art Unit 2176 July 3, 2007